

FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR EXPANSION MAPS IN PARITAL-2-METRIC SPACE

Pooja Dhawan¹*, Akshu Grewal²

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics & Humanities, MMEC,MM (DU), Mullana-133207, India *Corresponding Author: pdhawan12@mmumullana.org

ABSTRACT

In this work, some novel fixed point theorems for generalized expansion maps in framework of partial-2-Metric spaces are investigated. These theorems generalise numerous previous results from literature.

Keywords: Metric space, Expansion mapping, Fixed points.

INTRODUCTION

In 1886, French Mathematician Henri Poincare [1] began by experimenting with the notion of fixed point. His result assures the existence of at least one fixed point for an orientation and area-conserving twisted homomorphism of a ring with its boundaries moving in diametrically opposite directions. This result was generated over the movement of astronomical bodies. Later on, Poincare [1] observed that this result does not ensure the existence of an exact solution (but rather an approximate solution). Later on, following his work, L.E.J. Brouwer [2] studied the behaviour of continuous maps in finite-dimensional spaces and the theory was further given extensions by J.Schauder [3] to infinite dimensional spaces. Later on, S. Banach [4] provided the first fixed point solution in the metric framework in 1922 and the renowned result is known as BCP (Banach Contraction Principle).

Gahler proposed the concept of 2-Metric spaces [5] which was a unique structural approach towards metrical theory. Many authors generalized his results in various ways (See [6], [7], [8], [9]). Then, Matthews [16] pioneered partial metric space while working in the realm of computer semantics. An element's self-distance does not have to be zero in this space and a new metric axiom is added to the existing ones: $p(b,b) \le p(b,c) \forall b,c$. These results featured a new direction to research and many authors proved useful results and applications using these theorems. (See [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]) Recently, authors targeted the topological properties of partial metric and 2-Metric spaces to investigate some theorems. The current study comprises of generalised expansion map findings in the context of partial 2-Metric spaces.

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1.[5] For any set *A*, the function $\tilde{d} : A \times A \times A \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following axioms:

(m1) For $b, l \in A$ ($b \neq l$), there is $w \in A$ such that $d(b, l, w) \neq 0$;

(m2) $\tilde{d}(b, l, w) = 0$ if two of the elements $b, l, w \in A$ are equal;

(m3)
$$\hat{d}(b, l, w) = \hat{d}(b, w, l) = \dots$$

(m4) $\tilde{d}(b, l, w) \leq \tilde{d}(b, l, t) + \tilde{d}(b, t, w) + \tilde{d}(b, l, t),$

for each triplet $b, l, w, t \in A$, is said to be a 2-Metric and (A, \tilde{d}) is labelled a 2-Metric space.

Definition 2.[5] For a 2-Metric space (A, \tilde{d}) , a sequence $\{b_n\}$ converges to $b \in A$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{d}(b_n, b_n) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and we write $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = b$.

Definition 3.[5] A sequence $\{b_n\}$ is Cauchy in (A, \tilde{d}) if $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \tilde{d}(b_n, b_m, l) = 0$ for all $l \in A$.

Definition 4.[16] For any set A, the map $\tilde{p}: A \times A \times A \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is labelled a partial metric if it satisfies the conditions below for every b, c, $w \in A$:

(p1) b = c iff $\tilde{p}(b, b) = \tilde{p}(c, c) = \tilde{p}(b, c);$ (p2) $\tilde{p}(b, b) \leq \tilde{p}(b, c);$ (p3) $\tilde{p}(b, c) = \tilde{p}(c, b);$

A Journal for New Zealand Herpetology

5366

BioGecko

(p4) $\tilde{p}(b, c) \leq \tilde{p}(b, t) + \tilde{p}(t, c) - \tilde{p}(t, t)$. A partial metric space (PMS) is the set A with the metric \tilde{p} and denoted by (A, \tilde{p}) .

Example 1.[16] If $A = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tilde{p}(b, c) = max\{b, c\}$ for each $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then (A, \tilde{p}) is a PMS.

Definition 5.[16] Any sequence $\{b_n\}$ in a PMS (A, \tilde{p}) is

(a) convergent if there is an element $b \in A$ for which

 $\tilde{p}(b,b) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{p}(b_n,b),$

(b) called Cauchy if $\lim_{s,t\to\infty} \tilde{p}(b_s, b_t)$ is finite.

Definition 6.[16] A PMS (A, \tilde{p}) is complete if each Cauchy sequence $\{b_n\}$ from A converges in such a way that $\tilde{p}(b, b) = \lim_{s,t\to\infty} \tilde{p}(b_s, b_t)$.

The present work presents some novel results on partial-2-metric space through expansion mappings.

New Findings

Definition 7. For any set *A*, a map $\varsigma : A^3 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is labelled a partial-2-Metric on *A* if the conditions written below hold true for each $v, l, w, z \in A$:

 $(P_2M_1)\varsigma(v, l, z) = 0$ when two or three of v, l, z coincide;

 $(P_2M2)\varsigma(v,l,z) = \varsigma(v,z,l) = \varsigma(z, v,l) = ...;$

 $(P_2M3)\varsigma(v,v,v) \leq \varsigma(v,l,z);$

 $(P_2M4)\varsigma(v,l,z) \leq \varsigma(v,l,w) + \varsigma(v,wz) + \varsigma(w,l,z) - \varsigma(w,w,w).$

The duo (A, ς) is then called a Partial-2-Metric (P_2M) space.

Example 2. Let a function $\varsigma : A^3 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be defined by

 $\varsigma(v, l, z) = min\{|v - l|, |l - z|, |z - v|\}.$

Then ς is a partial-2-Metric.

Remark 2. Every 2-Metric space is a P_2M space as by (m2), $\varsigma(v, v, v) = 0 \le \varsigma(v, l, z)$ and (P₂M4) is satisfied by using (m2) and (m4).

The above example demonstrates that the opposite does not hold true.

Lemma 1. Let (A, ς) be a (P_2M) space and $d_{\varsigma}: A^3 \to [0, \infty)$ be defined as

$$d_{\varsigma}(v,l,w) = 3\varsigma(v,l,w) - \varsigma(v,v,v) - \varsigma(l,l,l) - \varsigma(w,w,w),$$

then (A, d_c) is a 2-Metric space.

Definition 8. Let (A, ς) be a P_2M space and $\varsigma : A^3 \to [0, \infty)$ be the corresponding partial-2-Metric defined on A.

The map $h: A \to A$ is an expansion map if there is a constant $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ such that

(3.1)
$$\varsigma(hv, hl, t) \ge \beta \varsigma(v, l, t) \text{ for } v, l, t \in A.$$

Lemma 2. Let (A, ς) be a P_2M space and $\{v_r\}$ be a sequence in A such that

(3.2) $\varsigma(v_{r+1}, v_r, t) \leq \beta \varsigma(v_r, v_{r-1}, t);$

for fixed $t \in A, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{v_r\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in A. **Proof.** By induction, we get for fixed $t \in A$,

$$\varsigma(v_{r+1}, v_r, t) \le \beta \varsigma(v_r, v_{r-1}, t) \le \beta^2 \varsigma(v_{r-1}, v, t)$$

(3.3)
$$\leq \beta^k \varsigma(v_1, v_0, t)$$

Also, $\max\{\varsigma(v_r, v_r, t), \varsigma(v_{r+1}, v_{r+1}, t)\} \leq \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t).$

From (3.3),

(3.4) $\max\{\varsigma(v_r, v_r, t), \varsigma(v_{r+1}, v_{r+1}, t)\} \le \beta^k \varsigma(v_1, v_0, t)$ Therefore, by Lemma 3.4, $\varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) = 3\varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) - \varsigma(v_r, v_r, v_r)$

A Journal for New Zealand Herpetology

BioGecko

$$\begin{aligned} & -\varsigma(v_{r+1}, v_{r+1}, v_{r+1}) - \varsigma(t, t, t) \\ & \leq 3\varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) + \varsigma(v_r, v_r, v_r) + \varsigma(v_{r+1}, v_{r+1}, v_{r+1}) \\ & + \varsigma(t, t, t) \\ & \leq 3\varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) + \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) + \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) \\ & + \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) \\ & = 6\varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

 $\leq 6\beta k \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) \text{ (by 3.3)}$ This shows that $\lim_{r\to\infty} \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) = 0$. Therefore, $\{v_r\}(r \in \mathbb{N})$ is Cauchy in A.

Theorem 1. Let (A, ς) be a P₂M space equipping completeness and *h* be an onto self mapping on *A* satisfying the expansive condition

(3.5) $\varsigma(hv, ha, t) \ge \alpha \varsigma(v, a, t) + \beta \varsigma(v, hv, t) + \gamma \varsigma(a, ha, t)$ for $v, a, t \in A, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (1, \infty)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 1$ and for fixed $t \in A$. Then f has one fixed element.

Proof.Let $v_0 \in A$. As A is onto, choose $v_1 \in A$ for which $hv_1 = v_0$. Continuing the same process, there is a sequence $\{v_r\} (r \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $v_{r-1} = hv_r$; r = 1, 2, ...Assume that $v_r \neq v_{r-1} \forall r = 1, 2, ...$

For some fixed $w \in U$,

It follows by (3.5),

$$\begin{split} \varsigma(v_{r-1}, v_r, t) &= \varsigma(hv_r, hv_{r+1}, t) \\ \geq & \alpha \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) + \beta \varsigma(v_r, hv_r, t) \\ &+ \gamma \varsigma(v_{r+1}, hv_{r+1}, t) \\ &= & \alpha \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) + \beta \varsigma(v_r, v_{r-1}, t) \\ &+ \gamma \varsigma(v_{r+1}, v_r, t) \\ \Rightarrow & (1 - \beta) \varsigma(v_r, v_{r-1}, t) \geq (\alpha + \gamma) \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) \\ &\Rightarrow & \varsigma(v_r, v_{r+1}, t) \leq \left(\frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha + \gamma}\right) \ \varsigma(v_r, v_{r-1}, t) \end{split}$$

By Lemma 3.6, $\{v_r\}$ $(r \in \mathbb{N})$ is Cauchy in A. As (A, ς) is complete, $\{v_r\}$ $(r \in \mathbb{N})$ converges in A. Let $v^* \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} v_r = v^*$.

Correspondingly, $\exists v \in A$ with $hv = v^*$. Now, $\varsigma(v_r, v, t) = \varsigma(hv_{r+1}, hv, t)$ $\geq \alpha \varsigma(v_{r+1}, v, t) + \beta \varsigma(v_{r+1}, hv_{r+1}, t)$ $+ \gamma \varsigma(v, hv, t)$ As $r \rightarrow \infty$, using (P₂M1), it follows $0 = \varsigma(v^*, v^*, t) \ge \alpha \varsigma(fh, v, t) + \beta(0) + \gamma \varsigma(v, hv^*, t)$ $\Rightarrow 0 \geq (\alpha + \gamma)\varsigma(hv^*, v, t)$ which implies $\varsigma(hv^*, v, t) = 0$. Thus, h(v) = v. For uniqueness, let $l \in A$ such that h(l) = l. Now, $\varsigma(v, l, t) = \varsigma(hv, hl, t)$ $\geq \alpha \varsigma(v, l, t) + \beta(v, hv, t) + \gamma \varsigma(l, hl, t)$ $\Rightarrow \varsigma(v, l, t) \ge \alpha \varsigma(v, l, t)$ > $\varsigma(v, l, t)$ which is a contradiction. So, we must have v = l. Hence the uniqueness. **Remark 3.** By putting $\beta = \gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, the following outcome is obtained: **Corollary 1.** Let (A, ς) be a P₂M complete space and h be an onto map on A satisfying the expansive condition

$\varsigma(hv, hl, t) \ge \alpha \varsigma(v, l, t)$

for $v, l \in A$, with $\alpha > 1$ and fixed $t \in A$. Then *h* has one fixed element.

REFERENCES

Poincare, H., Surlesscourbes define barles equations differentiate less, J. de Math, 2, (1886), 54-65.

Brouwer, L.E.J., Uber Abbildungen Von Mannigfaltigkeiten, Mathematische Analen, 77, (1912), 97-115.

Schauder, J., Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalraumen, Studia Mathematica, 2, (1930), 171-180.

Banach, S., Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, FundamentaMathematicae, 3, (1922), 133-181.

G"ahler, S., 2 MetrischeR" ame und Ihr Topological Struktur, MathematischeNachrichten, 26, (1963), 115-148.

G"ahler, S., Linear 2-Metrische Raume, Math. Nachr., 28, (1965), 1-43.

G"ahler, S., Uber die uniformisierbarkeit 2-metrischer Raume, Math. Nachr., 28, (1965), 235-244.

Iseki, K., Fixed point theorem in 2-metric spaces, Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe Univ., 3, (1975), 133-136.

Lateef, D., Fixed point theorems in 2-metric space for some contractive conditions, International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research, 6(1), (2018), 16-20.

Gupta, V., Dhawan, P., Kaur, J., On Ψ -projective expansion, Quasi partial metrics aggregation with an Application, Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation, 2020, 10(3), 946-959.

Pooja Dhawan, Jatinderdeep Kaur and Vishal Gupta, Novel results on fixed function and their application based on the best approximation of the treatment plan for tumor patients getting intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, 2019, 68(3), 1-12.

Dhawan, P., Kaur, J. and Bakshi, S., Fixed points of expansive mappings in quasi partial metric spaces, International journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering, 5(11), 2016.

Dhawan, P., Kaur, J., Fixed Point Theorems for (ξ, α) -Expansive Mappings in Partially Ordered Sets, International Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, 6(6), 2017.

Pooja Dhawan and Jatinderdeep Kaur, Some common fixed point theorems in ordered partial metric spaces via F-generalized contractive type mappings, Mathematics, 2019, 7(2), 193.

Pooja Dhawan, Vishal Gupta and Jatinderdeep Kaur, Existence of coincidence and common fixed points for a sequence of mappings in Quasi partial metric spaces, Journal of Analysis, 30, 405-414, 2022.

Matthews, G.S., Partial metric topology, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 728, (1994), 183-197.